

**NOTICE OF FURTHER SUBMISSION BY THE OIL COMPANIES TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 1 TO THE
WAIKATO & WAIPA RIVER CATCHMENTS PURSUANT TO CLAUSE 8 OF THE FIRST SCHEDULE OF THE
RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991**

To: Chief Executive
401 Grey Street
Private Bag 3038
Waikato Mail Centre
HAMILTON 3240

Via email: healthyivers@waikatoregion.govt.nz

Submitter:



Z Energy Limited
PO Box 2091
WELLINGTON 6140



BP Oil NZ Limited
PO Box 99 873
AUCKLAND 1149



Mobil Oil NZ Limited
PO Box 1709
AUCKLAND 1140

Hereafter, collectively referred to as “the Oil Companies”

Address for Service: BURTON PLANNING CONSULTANTS LIMITED
Level 1, 2-8 Northcroft Street
PO Box 33-817, Takapuna,
AUCKLAND 0740

Attention: John McCall

Phone: (09) 917-4316
Fax: (09) 917-4311
Email: jmccall@burtonconsultants.co.nz
File ref: 16/107

-
- 1 The Oil Companies' further submissions are as contained in the attached Table.**
 - 2 The Oil Companies' interest in the proposed plan is greater than the interest of the general public.**
 - 3 The Oil Companies wishes to be heard in support of its further submissions.**
 - 4 If others make similar submissions, the Oil Companies would be prepared to consider presenting a joint case with them at any hearing.**

Dated at AUCKLAND this 17th day of September 2018

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'John McCall', written in a cursive style.

John McCall

Authorised to sign on behalf of the Oil Companies

Submission	Relief Sought By Submitter	Position of Further Submitter	Reason For Support / Opposition
PC1-10259 Hamilton City Council	<p>Policy 5 and Policy 10</p> <p>Add the following policies:</p> <p><u>Policy 5A: New Urban Development:</u> <u>Allow urbanisation of land that gives effect to the Waikato Regional Policy Statement, which will result in increased volumes of stormwater and treated wastewater and may result in increased discharges of contaminants.</u></p> <p><u>Policy 10A: Revision of existing consent municipal and industrial point source discharges at the expiry of existing consent terms</u> <u>Incorporate the requirements of the Vision and strategy, the water quality objectives, and sub-catchment limits and targets into the consent conditions for point source discharges from regionally significant infrastructure, including municipal water supplies, wastewater and stormwater infrastructure, and regionally significant industry when the current consents expire and are renewed, while recognising the benefits to communities of the continued operation of such activities, which are provided for in Policy 10.</u></p> <p><u>Policy 12A Consideration of the effects of land use change on discharges:</u> <u>When considering a consent application to discharge contaminants from a changed land use, take into account any changes in contaminant load resulting from the change in land use as well as the contaminants associated with the new activity being consented.</u></p>	Oppose	<p>The submission (10259) is opposed. It is understood by the Oil Companies that the proposed Plan Change primarily targets ‘farming activities’ (and their respective point and non-point source discharges) into both the Waikato River and Waipa River catchments. The Oil Companies primary submission sought to ensure the proposed policy framework (particularly Policies 10 – 13) is applied or targeted only to the rural environment / farming activities. Policies 5A, 10A and 12A as proposed by Hamilton City Council (10259) increase the scope of the Plan Change to capture urban development and municipal and industrial point source discharges. The Oil Companies consider these discharges are already controlled by the Waikato Regional Plan and further controls are not appropriate in the context of this Plan Change. Therefore the Oil Companies oppose the proposed Policies.</p>
PC1-3717	Policy 12	Support	The submission (3717) is supported. The proposed

NZ Steel	<p>Amend Policy 12 as follows:</p> <p><i><u>When determining a resource consent application for a point source discharge for nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, consider the contribution made by the point source discharge....</u></i></p>		<p>amendment clarifies the scope of the policy to consider only discharges for nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens when incorporated alongside the proposed amendment contained in the Oil Companies primary submission. This will ensure Policy 12 is applied or targeted only to discharges relating to the rural environment / farming activities - rather than applying to urban activities such as construction dewatering and stormwater discharges (which are already controlled by the Plan).</p>
PC1-4829 New Zealand Transport Agency	<p>Policy 1</p> <p>Amend Policy 1 as follows:</p> <p><i><u>Manage and require reductions in sub-catchment-wide discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens from farming activities, by...</u></i></p>	Support	<p>The submissions (4829 and 6395) are supported. The proposed amendments ensure that Policy 1 is applied or targeted only to discharges from farming activities - rather than applying to urban activities such as construction dewatering and stormwater discharges (which are already controlled by the Plan).</p>
PC1-6395 Oji Fibre Solutions (NZ) Limited	<p>Policy 1:</p> <p><i><u>Manage and require Achieve reductions in sub-catchment-wide diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens from farming activities, by:</u></i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i><u>a. Enabling activities with a low level of contaminant discharge to water bodies provided these discharges do not increase; Requiring farming activities with a low level of contaminant discharge to water bodies to progressively exclude livestock (not including sheep) from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes; and</u></i> <i><u>b. Requiring farming activities with moderate to high levels of contaminant discharge to water bodies to adopt the Best Practicable Options to reduce or mitigate their discharges; and</u></i> <i><u>c. Progressively excluding cattle, horses, deer and pigs from rivers, streams, drains, wetlands and lakes.</u></i> 	Support	

<p>PC1-4056 South Waikato District Council</p>	<p>Definitions – ‘Regionally significant infrastructure’ and ‘Regionally significant industry’.</p> <p>Add a definition for ‘regionally significant infrastructure’:</p> <p><i><u>Regionally significant infrastructure means ‘municipal wastewater treatment plants, water supply treatment plants and bulk water supply, wastewater conveyance and storage systems, municipals supply dams and ancillary infrastructure’</u></i></p> <p>Add a definition for ‘regionally significant industry’:</p> <p><i><u>Regionally significant industry means ‘an economic activity based on use of natural and physical resources in the region which have benefits that are significant at a regional or national scale. These may include social, economic, or cultural benefits or a combination thereof. Regional significant industry include:</u></i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>a. <u>Dairy manufacturing sites;</u></i> <i>b. <u>Meat processing plants; and</u></i> <i>c. <u>Pulp and paper processing plants</u></i> 	<p>Support in Part / Oppose in Part</p>	<p>The submission (4056) is supported insofar as to include definitions for ‘regionally significant industry’ and ‘regionally significant infrastructure’. These terms are used within Policy 10 of proposed Plan Change 1 however they are not defined within the Operative Waikato Regional Plan.</p> <p>The Oil Companies support the definition of ‘regionally significant industry’ as proposed by South Waikato District Council (4056) - this definition is the same definition used in the Waikato Regional Policy Statement (WRPS). However the Oil Companies oppose the definition of ‘regionally significant infrastructure’ insofar as the definition proposed by South Waikato District Council is not the same definition used in the WRPS. The Oil Companies consider it more appropriate to use the same definition as the WRPS.</p>