

FURTHER SUBMISSION FORM

IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION/S ON NOTIFIED:



PROPOSED WAIKATO REGIONAL PLAN CHANGE 1: WAIKATO AND WAIPĀ RIVER CATCHMENTS AND VARIATION 1 TO PROPOSED WAIKATO REGIONAL PLAN CHANGE 1: WAIKATO AND WAIPĀ RIVER CATCHMENTS

Save this PDF to your computer before answering. If you edit the original form from this webpage, your changes will not save. Please check or update your software to allow for editing. We recommend Acrobat Reader.

Council needs to receive your further submission by 5pm, Monday, 17 September 2018. Please read the notes on making a Further Submission at the end of this form before completing your submission.

IMPORTANT NOTE

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter/s within 5 working days of being lodged with council. An address list of all submitters is included with the summary of decisions requested documents and is available at waikatoregion.govt.nz/healthyrivers

YOUR NAME, ADDRESS FOR SERVICE AND CONTACT DETAILS (MANDATORY INFORMATION)

Name of submitter (individual/organisation)	Matamata-Piako District Council	
Contact person (if applicable)	Mark Hamilton	
Agent (if applicable)		
Email address for service		
Postal address for service	PO Box 266	
		Post code: 3342
Phone number/s	Home:	Business: 07 884 0060
	Mobile:	Fax: 07 884 8865

IN ACCORDANCE WITH SCHEDULE 1 OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT:

I am:

- A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.
In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category; or
- A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has.
In this case, also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category; or
- The local authority for the relevant area.

My reasons are (i.e. grounds for selection above):

PLEASE INDICATE WHETHER YOU WISH TO SPEAK AT A HEARING

- Yes, I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my further submission.
- No, I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my further submission.

JOINT SUBMISSION

- If others make a similar submission, please tick this box if you would consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

IF YOU HAVE USED EXTRA SHEETS FOR THIS SUBMISSION PLEASE ATTACH THEM TO THIS FORM AND INDICATE BELOW

- Yes, I have attached 14 extra sheets.
- No, I have not attached extra sheets.

SIGNATURE - NOTE A SIGNATURE IS NOT REQUIRED IF YOU MAKE YOUR SUBMISSION BY ELECTRONIC MEANS

Signed _____ Date _____
Type name if submitting electronically

FURTHER SUBMISSIONS CAN BE SENT BY

-  Chief Executive, 401 Grey Street, Private Bag 3038, Waikato Mail Centre, Hamilton 3240
-  Waikato Regional Council, 401 Grey Street, Hamilton East, Hamilton
-  (07) 859 0998
-  healthyrivers@waikatoregion.govt.nz *Please note: Submissions received by email must contain full contact details.*

PLEASE CHECK that you have provided all of the information requested and if you are having trouble filling out this form, phone Waikato Regional Council on 0800 800 401 for help.

Personal information is used for the administration of the submissions process and will be made public. All information collected will be held by Waikato Regional Council, with submitters having the right to access and correct personal information.

Form 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991.

FURTHER SUBMISSIONS ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 1 AND VARIATION 1 TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 1

NAME OF ORIGINAL SUBMITTER: Please see below **ORIGINAL SUBMITTER ID:** _____

ADDRESS OF ORIGINAL SUBMITTER: Please refer to Matamata-Piako District Council Further Submission (attached) _____

Clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal. Also indicate the Submission Point ID.

PROVISION (e.g. Objective 4 or Rule 3.11.5.1): _____ **SUBMISSION POINT ID** (e.g. PC1-1234 or V1PC1-1234) _____

Do you support or oppose the submission? Support Oppose

THE REASONS FOR MY SUPPORT OR OPPOSITION ARE:
Tell us why you support or oppose this submission. These reasons will help us to understand your further submission.

I SEEK THAT THE WHOLE (OR PART (DESCRIBE PART)) OF THE SUBMISSION BE ALLOWED (OR DISALLOWED): Give precise details.

Add another page

NOTES ON MAKING A FURTHER SUBMISSION

1. Serving a copy of your further submission

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on (i.e. received by) Waikato Regional Council.

2. Further submission content review

Please note that your further submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- it contains offensive language
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

3. Privacy information

The Waikato Regional Council will make all submissions and further submissions including name and contact details publicly available on Council's website. Under the RMA, any further submission supporting or opposing an original submission is required to be served on the original submitter after it is served on council therefore your contact details must be made available.

Personal information will also be used for administration relating to the subject matter of the submissions, including notifying submitters of hearings and decisions. All information will be held by the Waikato Regional Council with submitters having the right to access and correct personal information.

Contact us for more information

Phone: 0800 800 401

Email: healthyrivers@waikatoregion.govt.nz

Matamata-Piako District Council Further Submission to Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 – Healthy Rivers

Introduction

Matamata-Piako District Council is the territorial authority responsible for the production, administration and enforcement of the objectives, policies and rules contained within the Matamata-Piako District Plan.

The District Plan provides the means for the Council and residents of Matamata-Piako to achieve the integrated management of the effects of the use, development and protection of the natural and physical resources within the District. The Council is therefore a key stakeholder in Waikato Regional Council Plan Change 1, which may have a bearing on the future of these resources and the content of the District Plan itself.

Background

This document presents a Further Submission by the Matamata-Piako District Council that is in addition to the WARTA (Waikato Regional Territorial Authorities) joint Further Submission lodged to Regional Plan Change 1 - Healthy Rivers.

Matamata-Piako District Council is a member of, and signatory to, the WARTA Group Further Submission.

It was agreed by the participating local authorities to the WARTA Group that where resource management issues were of greater local concern or posed unique challenges to their local community then Councils could also choose to lodge an additional Further Submission in relation to those matters.

Matamata-Piako District Council has chosen to also lodge a Further Submission. This Further Submission re-emphasizes the main themes stated in the Council's original submission (ID 73419) by identifying and supporting/allowing as appropriate a selected number of original submissions important to the community that relate to or promote the following five principles.

The five strategic outcomes that the Council supports are:

1. Effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities, innovation and diversification, and can be supported by sustainable land management practices.
2. A sub-catchment approach to managing the four contaminants.

3. A sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance.
4. Methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities.
5. An evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and/or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.

Specific Further Submissions by Submitter

The specific submission points from the following submitters have been supported in whole or in part because they promote some or all these of five strategic outcomes. Please refer to the following tables, arranged by submitter and included as an attachment, to identify the submission points requested to be allowed, or allowed in part:

1. Balle Bros Group;
2. J Swap Ltd;
3. Greenlea Premier Meats Ltd;
4. A S Wilcox and Sons;
5. Ngati Haua; and
6. Open Country Dairy

Regards



Don McLeod

Chief Executive Officer

Matamata-Piako District Council

Balle Bros Group [Submitter ID 67834]

C/- Brendan Balle
 166 Heights Road
 RD1
 Pukekohe 2676

PLAN PROVISION	SUBMISSION POINT ID	SUBMISSION POINT SUMMARY	ALLOW/ DISALLOW IN FULL/ IN PART	REASONS
Water quality and NPS for Freshwater Management	PC1 – 11375	AND REMOVE Rule 3.11.5.7 from PPC1 AND AMEND PPC1 to add a restricted Discretionary Activity consent applicable to high priority sub-catchments only.	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports a sub-catchment approach to managing the four contaminants. Matamata-Piako District Council supports effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities and diversification, and can be supported by sustainable land management practices.
Full achievement of Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational	PC1 – 11380	AMEND the full achievement of the Vision and Strategy will be intergenerational to read <i>Refer full submission</i> and Addition of: <u>'will require a considerable amount of land use moderation within high-risk sub-catchments. Whereas in other sub-catchments it will be more appropriate to focus on applying mitigation methods via conditions, rather than simply preventing land use change.'</u> And <u>During Stage 1, Waikato Regional Council will work collaboratively with</u>	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities and diversification, and can be supported by sustainable land management practices. • A sub-catchment approach to managing the four contaminants. • a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance. • methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities.

		<u>relevant stakeholders to develop a sub-catchment management approach to manage diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens. To assist this process, information will be collected and research undertaken to support this, including collecting information about current discharges, appropriate modelling tools to estimate contaminant discharges, and spatial variability of land use and contaminant losses and the effect of contaminant discharges in different parts of the catchment that will assist in defining 'land suitability' for allocation."</u>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.
Vision and Strategy	PC1 – 11385	AMEND PPC1 to ensure that prosperous communities result from the proposed rule framework.	Allow in part	<p>Matamata-Piako District Council supports:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities.
Objective 2: Social, economic and cultural wellbeing is maintained in the long term	PC1 -11387	AMEND Objective 2 to read: "Objective 2: Social, economic and cultural well-being is <u>recognised and maintained</u> in the long term... ...Waikato and Waipā communities and their economy experience <u>measureable</u> benefit from the restoration and protection of water quality <u>as relevant in each sub-catchment</u> of the Waikato River catchment, which enables the people	Allow in part	<p>Matamata-Piako District Council supports:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities and diversification, and can be supported by sustainable land management practices. a sub-catchment approach to managing the four contaminants. a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic

		and communities to continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being." AMEND PPC1 to ensure the rules give effect to Objective 2.		timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities.
Objective 3: Short-term improvements in water quality	PC1 - 11392	AMEND Objective 3 to read: "Objective 3: Short-term improvements in water quality in the first stage of <u>maintenance</u> restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater Management Unit...	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities and diversification, and can be supported by sustainable land management practices. • a sub-catchment approach to managing the four contaminants. • a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance.
Objective 4: People and community resilience	PC1 – 11393	AMEND PPC1 to ensure the rule framework gives effect to Objective 4.	Allow	Matamata-Piako District Council supports: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities. • an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.
Policy 1: Manage diffuse discharges	PC1 - 11399	AMEND Policy 1 to read: 'Manage and require " <u>maintenance or</u> " reductions " <u>where relevant</u> " in sub-catchment-wide discharges of nitrogen,	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities and

		phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, by: ...		diversification, and can be supported by sustainable land management practices. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a sub-catchment approach to managing the four contaminants.
Policy 3: Tailored approach to reducing diffuse discharges from commercial vegetable production systems	PC1 - 11407	AMEND Policy 3 to read: "Policy 3: Tailored approach to <u>managing and where relevant</u> reducing diffuse discharges from commercial vegetable production systems. AND ADD a NEW Restricted Discretionary Activity consent applicable to high priority sub-catchments only.	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities and diversification, and can be supported by sustainable land management practices. • a sub-catchment approach to managing the four contaminants.
Policy 6: Restricting land use change	PC1 – 11410	DELETE Policy 6 in its entirety.	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities and diversification, and can be supported by sustainable land management practices. • a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance. • methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities. • supports an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and or alternative approaches are

				fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.
Policy 7: Preparing for allocation	PC1 – 11411	AMEND Policy 7 to read: "Policy 7 preparing for allocation in the future..."	Allow in part	<p>Matamata-Piako District Council supports:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance. • methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities. • an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.
3.11.4.3 Farm Environment Plans	PC1 – 11413	AND AMEND to broaden the definition of a Certified Farm Planner to encompass experience as a qualification and to ensure that enough planners are available to meet Farm Environment Plan demand.	Allow in part	<p>Matamata-Piako District Council supports a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance.</p>
3.11.5.7: Non-Complying Activity Rule - Land Use Change	PC1 – 11432	DELETE Rule 3.11.5.7 in its entirety	Allow in part	<p>Matamata-Piako District Council supports:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance. • methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities. • an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change

				and or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.
Schedule B – NRP	PC1 - 11433	DELETE Schedule B in its entirety. If not deleted then AMEND to remove the Nitrogen Reference Point from PPC1 and focus on all four contaminants on a sub-catchment basis.	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.
Schedule 1 - Farm Environment Plans	PC1 – 11435	AMEND Schedule 1 <i>Refer full submission</i>	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities and diversification, and can be supported by sustainable land management practices. • a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance.

J SWAP LTD [Submitter ID 71618]

Address for Service:

C/- Ariell King

AECOM

PO BOX 434

Waikato Mail Centre

HAMILTON 3240

PLAN PROVISION	SUBMISSION POINT ID	SUMMARY OF RELEVANT PART OF SUBMISSION	ALLOW/ DISALLOW IN FULL/ IN PART	REASONS
Objective 2: Social, economic and cultural wellbeing is maintained in the long term	PC1-6405	AMEND Objective 2 as follows: "Waikato and Waipā communities and their economy benefit from the restoration and protection of water quality in the Waikato River catchment, which and the restoration and protection is undertaken in a way and at a rate that enables the people and communities to continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being."	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities. • supports an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.
Objective 3: Short-term improvements in water quality in the first stage of restoration and protection of water quality for each sub-catchment and Freshwater	PC1-6406	AMEND Objective 3 as follows - "Actions put in place and implemented by 2026 to reduce discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens, are sufficient to achieve ten percent of the required change between current water quality and the 80-year <u>desired</u> water quality <u>states</u> attribute <u>targets</u> in Table 3.11-1. A ten percent change towards the long term <u>desired</u> water quality <u>states</u> improvements is indicated by <u>Objective 3</u> the short term "	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities and diversification, and can be supported by sustainable land management practices. • a sub catchment approach to managing the four contaminants. • a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance.

PLAN PROVISION	SUBMISSION POINT ID	SUMMARY OF RELEVANT PART OF SUBMISSION	ALLOW/ DISALLOW IN FULL/ IN PART	REASONS
Management Unit		water quality attribute targets in Table 3.11.1."		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities. • an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.
Objective 4: People and community resilience	PC1-6408	AMEND Objective 4 as follows - "A staged approach to change enables people and communities to undertake adaptive management to continue to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being in the short term while: a) considering the values and uses identified in section 3.11.1 when taking action to achieve <u>Objectives 1 and 3</u> the attribute targets for the Waikato and Waipā Rivers in Table 11.1; and recognising that further contaminant reductions will be required by subsequent regional plans and signalling anticipated future management approaches that will be needed to meet Objective 1."	Allow in part	<p>Matamata-Piako District Council supports:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities. • an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.
Policy 10: Provide for point source discharges of	PC1-6414	AMEND Policy 10 as follows - "Policy 10: Provide for point source discharges <u>from activities</u> of regional significance When deciding resource consent applications for point source discharges of nitrogen,	Allow in part	<p>Matamata-Piako District Council supports:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities.

PLAN PROVISION	SUBMISSION POINT ID	SUMMARY OF RELEVANT PART OF SUBMISSION	ALLOW/ DISALLOW IN FULL/ IN PART	REASONS
regional significance		phosphorus, sediment and microbial pathogens to water or onto or into land, <u>subject to Policy 11 and Policy 12</u> provide for the: a) Continued operation <u>and development</u> of regionally significant infrastructure; and b) Continued operation <u>and development</u> of regionally significant industry."		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.
Policy 11: Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of effects to point source discharges	PC1-6417	AMEND Policy 11 as follows - "Policy 11: Application of Best Practicable Option and mitigation or offset of effects to point source discharges Require any person undertaking a point source discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens to water or onto or into land in the Waikato and Waipā River catchments to adopt the Best Practicable Option* to avoid or mitigate the adverse effects of the discharge, at the time a resource consent application is decided. Policy 11A: Offsetting the effects of point source discharges Where it is not practicable to avoid or mitigate all <u>any significant</u> adverse effects, an offset measure may be proposed in an alternative location or locations to the point source discharge, for the purpose of ensuring positive	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities. a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance. an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.

PLAN PROVISION	SUBMISSION POINT ID	SUMMARY OF RELEVANT PART OF SUBMISSION	ALLOW/ DISALLOW IN FULL/ IN PART	REASONS
		<p>effects on the environment to lessen any residual adverse effects of the discharge(s) that will or may result from allowing the activity provided that the:</p> <p>a) The primary discharge does not result in any significant toxic adverse effect at the point source-discharge location; and b) The Offset measure is for the same contaminant; and c) The Offset measure occurs preferably within the same or upstream of the sub- catchment in which the primary discharge occurs and if this is not practicable, then within the same Freshwater Management Unit or a Freshwater Management Unit located upstream, and d) <u>The offset measure is monitored and results in a net reduction in adverse environmental effects on the Waikato or Waipā River catchment caused by nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and/or microbial pathogens; and</u></p> <p>The Offset measure remains in place for the duration of the consent and is secured by consent condition <u>or another legally binding mechanism.</u>"</p>		
Policy 12: Additional considerations for point source	PC1-6429	AMEND Policy 12 as follows - " Consider Assess the contribution made by a point source discharge to the nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and microbial	Allow in part,	Matamata-Piako District Council supports: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises

PLAN PROVISION	SUBMISSION POINT ID	SUMMARY OF RELEVANT PART OF SUBMISSION	ALLOW/ DISALLOW IN FULL/ IN PART	REASONS
discharges in relation to water quality targets		pathogen catchment loads and the impact of that contribution on the likely achievement of the short term or targets in Objective 3 or the progression towards the <u>desired 80 year water quality state</u> targets in Objective 1, taking into account: ... c. The ability to stage future mitigation actions to allow investment costs to be spread over time and contribute to <u>meeting Objectives 1 and 3</u> the water quality targets specified above; and"		<p>the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance. • an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.
Policy 13: Point sources consent duration	PC1-6433	AMEND Policy 13 as follows - "When determining an appropriate duration for any consent granted consider the following matters: A consent term exceeding 25 of 35 years, where the applicant demonstrates the approaches set out in that Policies 11, <u>11A</u> and 12, will be met <u>complied with</u> ; and ..."	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities.
3.11.4.11 Monitoring and evaluation of the implementation of Chapter 3.11	PC1-6435	AMEND PPC1 so that any method or rule around consent renewal is assessed on a case-by-case basis for regionally significant industry and takes into account existing industry infrastructure investment to date. AND ADD into Method 3.11.4.11 - "Waikato Regional Council will: a. Review and report... f. <u>Recognise current infrastructure investment by Regionally significant</u>	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities.

PLAN PROVISION	SUBMISSION POINT ID	SUMMARY OF RELEVANT PART OF SUBMISSION	ALLOW/ DISALLOW IN FULL/ IN PART	REASONS
		<p>industry, when assessing resource consent renewals for existing activities; and</p> <p><u>g. Recognise the level of treatment provided by Regionally significant industry infrastructure under any existing resource consents and apply a Best Practicable Option approach when assessing resource consent renewals for those existing activities."</u> AND</p> <p>AMEND to include the above change into Rule 3.11.5.5 for renewals of resource consents associated with regionally significant industries; or relief to that effect.</p>		
3.11.5 Rules	PC1-6565	<p>AMEND PPC1 to provide a NEW Controlled Activity Rule for renewals of resource consents associated with regionally significant industries (or relief to that effect) to recognise current infrastructure investment and the level of treatment provided by Regionally significant industry under any existing resource consents, and applying a Best Practicable Option approach.</p>	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities.
Additions to Glossary of Terms	PC1-6436	<p><u>ADD a NEW definition of 'regionally significant infrastructure' as follows -</u></p> <p><u>"Regionally significant industry - means an economic activity based on the use of natural and physical resources in the region which have benefits that are</u></p>	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities.

PLAN PROVISION	SUBMISSION POINT ID	SUMMARY OF RELEVANT PART OF SUBMISSION	ALLOW/ DISALLOW IN FULL/ IN PART	REASONS
		<p>significant at a regional or national scale. These may include social, economic or cultural benefits. Regionally significant industry includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Dairy manufacturing sites; b) Meat processing plants; c) Pulp and paper processing plants; and d) Mineral extraction activities." 		

GREENLEA PREMIER MEATS [Submitter ID 72144]

Address for Service:

Tony Egan

PO Box 87

Waikato Mail Centre

Hamilton 3204

PLAN PROVISION	SUBMISSION POINT ID	SUMMARY OF RELEVANT PART OF SUBMISSION	ALLOW/ DISALLOW IN FULL/ IN PART	REASONS
General	PC1-7520	AMEND PPC1 by using an objective assessment criteria based on sustainability for the region as a whole, AND AMEND to provide a more balanced and equitable approach.	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities and diversification, and can be supported by sustainable land management practices.• a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance.• methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities.• an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.
3.11.5.2 Permitted Activity Rule – Other farming activities	PC1-7541	DELETE requirements for land use activities to not exceed their historic nitrogen discharge levels when below 20kgN/ha/yr.	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities and diversification, and can be supported by sustainable land management practices.

PLAN PROVISION	SUBMISSION POINT ID	SUMMARY OF RELEVANT PART OF SUBMISSION	ALLOW/ DISALLOW IN FULL/ IN PART	REASONS
		<p>AMEND to enable low leaching and land uses (below 20kgN/ha/yr) to increase discharges up to 20kgN/ha/yr.</p> <p>AMEND by basing the nitrogen allocation system on the natural capital of soils taking into consideration the assimilative capacity of freshwater.</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance. • methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities. • an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.
<p>3.11.5.3 Permitted Activity Rule – Farming activities with a Farm Environment Plan under a Certified Industry Scheme</p>	<p>PC1-7544</p>	<p>DELETE requirements for land use activities to not exceed their historic nitrogen discharge levels when below 20kgN/ha/yr.</p> <p>AMEND to enable low leaching and land uses (below 20kgN/ha/yr) to increase discharges up to 20kgN/ha/yr.</p> <p>AMEND by basing the nitrogen allocation system on the natural capital of soils taking into consideration the assimilative capacity of freshwater.</p>	<p>Allow in part</p>	<p>Matamata-Piako District Council supports:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities and diversification, and can be supported by sustainable land management practices. • a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance. • methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities. • an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.

PLAN PROVISION	SUBMISSION POINT ID	SUMMARY OF RELEVANT PART OF SUBMISSION	ALLOW/ DISALLOW IN FULL/ IN PART	REASONS
3.11.5.4 Controlled Activity Rule – Farming activities with a Farm Environment Plan not under a Certified Industry Scheme	PC1-7545	DELETE requirements for land use activities to not exceed their historic nitrogen discharge levels when below 20kgN/ha/yr. AMEND to enable low leaching and land uses (below 20kgN/ha/yr) to increase discharges up to 20kgN/ha/yr. AMEND by basing the nitrogen allocation system on the natural capital of soils taking into consideration the assimilative capacity of freshwater.	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities and diversification, and can be supported by sustainable land management practices. • a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance. • methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities. • an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.
3.11.5.5 Controlled Activity Rule – Existing commercial vegetable production	PC1-7547	DELETE requirements for land use activities to not exceed their historic nitrogen discharge levels when below 20kgN/ha/yr. AMEND to enable low leaching and land uses (below 20kgN/ha/yr) to increase discharges up to 20kgN/ha/yr. AMEND by basing the nitrogen allocation system on the natural capital of soils taking into consideration the assimilative capacity of freshwater.	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities and diversification, and can be supported by sustainable land management practices. • a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance. • methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities.

PLAN PROVISION	SUBMISSION POINT ID	SUMMARY OF RELEVANT PART OF SUBMISSION	ALLOW/ DISALLOW IN FULL/ IN PART	REASONS
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.
<p>3.11.5.6 Restricted Discretionary Activity Rule – The use of land for farming activities</p>	PC1-7550	<p>DELETE requirements for land use activities to not exceed their historic nitrogen discharge levels when below 20kgN/ha/yr. AMEND to enable low leaching and land uses (below 20kgN/ha/yr) to increase discharges up to 20kgN/ha/yr. AMEND by basing the nitrogen allocation system on the natural capital of soils taking into consideration the assimilative capacity of freshwater.</p>	Allow in part	<p>Matamata-Piako District Council supports:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities and diversification, and can be supported by sustainable land management practices. • a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance. • methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities. • an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.

A S Wilcox and Sons [Submitter ID 73142]

c/- Brent Wilcox

58 Union Road

RD3

Pukekohe 2678

PLAN PROVISION	SUBMISSION point ID	SUMMARY OF RELEVANT PART OF SUBMISSION	ALLOW/ DISALLOW IN FULL/ IN PART	REASONS
Background and Explanation	PC1 – 4300	AMEND Background and explanation to include an issue statement recognising horticulture as an essential industry and addressing issues specific to it AND AMEND PPC1 to provide opportunity for commercial vegetable production on new sites, particularly for the production of non-substitutable vegetable lines for domestic consumption AND AMEND to provide for an alternative method to establish a benchmark nitrogen and phosphorus discharge for commercial vegetable production.	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities and diversification, and can be supported by sustainable land management practices. • an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.
Objective 3: Short-term improvements in water quality	PC1 – 4307	AMEND PPC1 to provide flexibility to land managers seeking to achieve reductions collaboratively at a catchment or sub-catchment scale AND AMEND to ensure all four contaminants are given equal weighting when assessing contaminant reductions.	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities and diversification, and can be supported by sustainable land management practices. • a sub-catchment approach to managing the four contaminants.

PLAN PROVISION	SUBMISSION point ID	SUMMARY OF RELEVANT PART OF SUBMISSION	ALLOW/ DISALLOW IN FULL/ IN PART	REASONS
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance.
Objective 4: People and community resilience	PC1 – 4308	AMEND Objective 4 to recognise that PPC1 is transitional, to provide time to develop the tools required to more efficiently allocate responsibility for achieving contaminant reduction targets in the long-term.	Allow in part	<p>Matamata-Piako District Council supports:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities and diversification, and can be supported by sustainable land management practices. a sub catchment approach to managing the four contaminants. a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance. methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities. an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.
Policy 2: Tailored approach	PC1 – 4309	AMEND Policy 2 to provide alternatives to the Nitrogen Reference Point during the transitional period AND AMEND Policy 2 to enable a consenting pathway for groups to take responsibility for contaminant reductions through	Allow in part	<p>Matamata-Piako District Council supports:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities and diversification, and can be supported by sustainable land management practices.

PLAN PROVISION	SUBMISSION point ID	SUMMARY OF RELEVANT PART OF SUBMISSION	ALLOW/ DISALLOW IN FULL/ IN PART	REASONS
		catchment and paddock scale mitigations that are able to be measured and reported.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • supports a sub catchment approach to managing the four contaminants. a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance.
Policy 3: Tailored approach	PC1 – 4310	AMEND Policy 3 to provide for a Restricted Discretionary rule that enables opportunities for new vegetable production, with discharges assessed across all four contaminants	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities and diversification, and can be supported by sustainable land management practices.
Policy 6: Restricting land use	PC1 - 4313	AMEND Policy 6 to provide for activities that can demonstrate clear and enduring decreases in diffuse discharges as Restricted Discretionary Activity.	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance. • an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.
Policy 8: Prioritised implementation	PC1 – 4315	AMEND Policy 8 to enable management of horticultural enterprises between sub-catchments, OR ADD another policy to enable this.	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities and diversification, and can be supported by sustainable land management practices.
Policy 9: Sub catchment mitigation	PC1 – 4316	AMEND Policy 9 to enable offsetting where it can be demonstrated that there will be a commensurate effect on the	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports a sub catchment approach to managing the four contaminants.

PLAN PROVISION	SUBMISSION point ID	SUMMARY OF RELEVANT PART OF SUBMISSION	ALLOW/ DISALLOW IN FULL/ IN PART	REASONS
		restoration of the health and well-being of the Waikato River AND AMEND to enable a consenting pathway for groups to take responsibility for contaminant reductions through catchment and paddock scale mitigations that are able to be measured and reported.		
3.11.5 Rules	PC1 - 4319	ADD a NEW Restricted Discretionary Rule to enable collaborative management by a catchment collective.	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports a sub catchment approach to managing the four contaminants.
3.11.5.7: Non-Complying Activity Rule	PC1 – 4320	REMOVE the Non-complying Activity status of Rule 3.11.5.7 AND ADD a NEW Restricted Discretionary Activity rule that enables the use of land for new and additional commercial vegetable production where it can be demonstrated that the effects of the land use change will result in a decrease in the discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or microbial pathogens.	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.

Ngati Haua Iwi Trust [Submitter ID 73515]

Address for Service:

Mokoro Gillett

Po Box 270

Morrinsville

PLAN PROVISION	SUBMISSION point ID	SUMMARY OF RELEVANT PART OF SUBMISSION	ALLOW/ DISALLOW IN FULL/ IN PART	REASONS
Policy 10: Provide for point source discharges of regional significance	PC1-11838	AMEND Policy 10 to read: "When deciding resource consent applications... onto or into land, provide <u>have regard to the continued operation of:</u> a. Continued operation of regionally significant infrastructure '; and b. Continued operation of regionally significant industry ."	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities.
3.11.4 Implementation methods	PC1-11857	ADD a NEW Method to read: " <u>3.11.4.13 Decision support system The Waikato Regional Council working with regional stakeholders will: a. Develop a Decision Support System (DSS) to model the effectiveness of mitigation measures that are proposed to be put in place and implemented at a sub-catchment, property and enterprise level through any proposed Farm Environment Plan. For the purpose of Method 3.11.4.13, 'effectiveness' means the contribution of the proposed mitigation measures (whether individually or collectively) - that are put in place and implemented at a sub-catchment, property and enterprise level - to reducing the diffuse</u>	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities and diversification, and can be supported by sustainable land management practices • a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance. • a sub-catchment approach to managing the four contaminants. • an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.

PLAN PROVISION	SUBMISSION point ID	SUMMARY OF RELEVANT PART OF SUBMISSION	ALLOW/ DISALLOW IN FULL/ IN PART	REASONS
		discharge of contaminants within the sub-catchment where <u>property and/or enterprise</u> is located."		
3.11.4.3 Farm Environment Plans	PC1-11847	AMEND Method 3.11.4.3 to read: "Waikato Regional Council will prepare... and microbial pathogens and specify <u>the range of relevant mitigation</u> actions to reduce those risks in order to bring about reductions... develop guidance for <u>undertaking</u> risk assessments,... Waikato Regional Council will... Farm Environment Plans, starting with more a <u>standardised monitoring programme</u> and then <u>potentially</u> moving to <u>less frequent</u> monitoring based <u>on</u> risk assessment <u>and the outcome of previous monitoring results</u> . <u>Waikato Regional Council will prepare an audit schedule for undertaking</u> robust third party audit (independent of the farmer and Certified Farm Environment Planner) <u>and monitoring of Farm Environment Plans and a randomised method for the selection of Farm Environment Plans</u> ."	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities and diversification, and can be supported by sustainable land management practices. • a sub-catchment approach to managing the four contaminants. • a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance. • methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities.
3.11.4.5 Sub-catchment scale planning	PC1-11849	AMEND Method 3.11.4.5 to read: "Waikato Regional Council will work with <u>relevant stakeholders</u> to develop... and where it has been shown to be required <u>developing a plan would result in achieving the 10-year water quality</u>	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance.

PLAN PROVISION	SUBMISSION point ID	SUMMARY OF RELEVANT PART OF SUBMISSION	ALLOW/ DISALLOW IN FULL/ IN PART	REASONS
		attribute targets more efficiently. Sub-catchment planning..."		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities
3.11.4.6 Funding and implementation	PC1-11850	RETAIN Method 3.11.4.6.	Allow in part	<p>Matamata-Piako District Council supports:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities. • an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources

OPEN COUNTRY DAIRY [Submitter ID 74182]

Address for Service:

John Fairweather

52 Highbrook Drive

East Tamaki

PO Box 11159 Ellerslie

AUCKLAND 1542

PLAN PROVISION	SUBMISSION POINT ID	SUMMARY OF RELEVANT PART OF SUBMISSION	ALLOW/ DISALLOW IN FULL/ IN PART	REASONS
3.11.5.3 Permitted Activity Rule – Farming activities with a Farm Environment Plan under a Certified Industry Scheme	PC1-5419	AMEND Rule 3.11.5.3 to allow a more farmer generated approach to preparation of Farm Environment Plans in the short term, moving to a Certified Plan at a determined point of time in the future.	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities and diversification, and can be supported by sustainable land management practices. • a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance. • supports methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities. • an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.
3.11.5.4 Controlled Activity Rule – Farming	PC1-5421	AMEND Rule 3.11.5.4 to ensure farmers operating under a consent process achieve outcomes no worse than those working within an industry scheme. AND	Allow in part	Matamata-Piako District Council supports: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities and diversification, and can

PLAN PROVISION	SUBMISSION POINT ID	SUMMARY OF RELEVANT PART OF SUBMISSION	ALLOW/ DISALLOW IN FULL/ IN PART	REASONS
activities with a Farm Environment Plan not under a Certified Industry Scheme		AMEND Schedule 2 so that Waikato Regional Council ensures a default Certification Scheme is established by a suitable organisation for the preparation and auditing of Farm Environment Plans		<p>be supported by sustainable land management practices.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance. • supports methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities. • an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.
Schedule B - Nitrogen Reference Point	PC1-5418	<p>AMEND Schedule B to clarify how the 14/15 15/16 data point is established if ownership has changed.</p> <p>AMEND to clarify what current version of OVERSEER means. AND AMEND to clarify how changing versions on Overseer will this be handled- will rolling 5 year average be based on historic correction or be at face value.</p> <p>AMEND 75th percentile nitrogen leaching value approach to look at a more graduated stepped approach to Nitrogen Reference Point with variable timelines.</p> <p>AMEND reference period [benchmark seasons] to include 16/17 season.</p>	Allow in part	<p>Matamata-Piako District Council supports:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • effects-based provisions that accommodate changes in land use activity, provide for multiple land use opportunities and diversification, and can be supported by sustainable land management practices. • a sensible, practical, certain, fair and simple implementation regime with realistic timeframes for reporting and deadlines for compliance. • supports methods of implementation that are affordable to land owners and communities and minimises the impacts on the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities. • an evaluation that can satisfy section 32 RMA to inform decision-making that the Plan Change and or alternative approaches are fit for purpose to

PLAN PROVISION	SUBMISSION POINT ID	SUMMARY OF RELEVANT PART OF SUBMISSION	ALLOW/ DISALLOW IN FULL/ IN PART	REASONS
				promote sustainable management of natural and physical resources.

