

Response to Panel Question 25 June 2019

CNI Land

Bridget Robson

Commissioner Robinson asked whether the CNI submission had scope to raise the proposed consequential amendments to the existing Waikato Regional Plan - the effect of which would be to constrain the rules for farm effluent ponds, effluent irrigation and feedpad discharges to point source only.

I find that the CNI submission and further submission does not actively refer to these consequential changes, so I apologise for extending my evidence for Block 2 beyond the scope of the CNI submission and further submission.

I note that paragraph 667 of the Compiled Section 42A report for Block 3 identifies that HFM and Oji Ltd oppose these consequential amendments. It's possible that in refining the extent of the CNI further submission, I reflected that this point was well made by HFM and overrode my initial consideration to include it, in the interests of having a succinct further submission. And then did not refer back to the final version of the CNI further submission before embarking on my evidence preparation for Block 2. Again, I apologise for this oversight.

Regards

Bridget Robson

eLand 2017 Ltd